International Journal of Politics and Media

4(2) (2025) 18-22

OPEN ACCESS

RESEARCH ARTICLE

DOI:10.26524/ijpm.4.10

Artificial Intelligence and Electoral Politics in India: Democratic Innovation, Risks and Regulatory Challenges

Vishal Dahiya [a*]

[a] Professor of Practice, School of Liberal Studies and Humanities, University of Petroleum and Energy Studies (UPES), Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India.

Corresponding Author: Vishal Dahiya
Professor of Practice, School of Liberal Studies and Humanities, UPES, Dehradun
Email: vishal.dahiya@ddn.upes.ac.in

Articleinfo
Received: 15 September 2025
Accepted: 21 November 2025
Published: 30 December 2025

Keywords:
Artificial Intelligence (AI), Elections in India, Digital Political Communication, Deepfakes, Misinformation, Election Regulation, Data Privacy, Voter Profiling, Election Campaigning, Transparency

How to cite this article: Vishal Dahiya, "Artificial Intelligence and Electoral Politics in India: Democratic Innovation, Risks and Regulatory Challenges", *International Journal of Politics and Media*, vol. 4, Issue. 2, pp. 18-22, Dec. 2025. Retrieved from https://ijpmonline.com/index.php/ojs/article/view/83

Abstract

Political communication and electoral processes across democratic systems worldwide have been reshaped significantly by rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI). World's largest democracy- India has also been affected by these changes. In India AI has been integrated into election campaigns, voter engagement strategies, content creation practices and administrative monitoring mechanisms. This paper critically examines the role of AI in electoral politics in India with particular emphasis on 2024 Lok Sabha elections, 2025 Bihar Assembly elections and broader experiences from recent state elections in Uttar Pradesh, Karnataka, and Telangana. It analyses how AI driven technologies such as predictive analytics, automated messaging systems, generative media, algorithmic monitoring have altered the dynamics of electoral battle in India. The paper also highlights the ethical, legal and democratic challenges posed by AI including misinformation, deepfakes, voter profiling, privacy concerns and regulatory inadequacies. Drawing upon documented electoral practices, regulatory advisories and enforcement actions, the paper argues that while AI offers opportunities for efficiency and engagement, its unchecked deployment poses risks which undermine electoral integrity and public trust. The paper concludes with policy recommendations aimed at strengthening legal frameworks, institutional capacity, transparency mechanisms and public awareness to ensure the responsible and democratic use of AI in India's electoral ecosystem.

1. Introduction

Electoral politics in the 21st century have been transformed fundamentally by digital technologies altering how political parties and their leaders communicate, mobilize support, and influence public opinion. With extensive digital penetration, increasingly social media driven political discourse and a huge number of registered voters, India has emerged as a significant democratic system for examining these transformations. Over the past decade expansion of social media platforms, increasing mobile connectivity and data-driven campaigning has reshaped electoral strategies at both national and state levels in India. Within this evolving digital ecosystem, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a central tool in contemporary political dialogue during election campaigning and electoral governance.

AI is a set of computational systems capable of performing tasks traditionally associated with human intelligence such as learning, pattern recognition, language processing and even decision making to a large extent. In the context of electoral politics AI enables political parties and their leaders, strategists and workers to analyse large datasets, predict voter behaviour, personalise campaign messages, automate voter interactions and generate political content at a large scale within a short period. AI tools have been used during recent Indian elections to optimise campaign logistics, deliver targeted political messaging, monitor online discourse and even detect potential violations of electoral norms.

However, the growing reliance on AI also raises significant concerns for democratic governance. AI driven micro targeting has the potential to influence voter behaviour in subtle and opaque ways, thereby undermining informed consent, electoral fairness and level playing field for all contestants. Generative AI technologies which are capable of producing highly realistic synthetic images, audio and video have introduced new dimensions to the risks of misinformation and deception especially through deepfake content. More significantly the regulatory and institutional frameworks governing Indian elections were not designed to address algorithmic campaigning and synthetic media. This leads to enforcement challenges and legal ambiguity related to violations of rules.

Artificial intelligence and emerging technologies are increasingly reshaping Indian democracy by transforming political communication, voter targeting, and campaign strategies (Political Marketer, 2025). Recognizing the growing risks of misinformation and synthetic media, regulatory authorities have begun intervening through formal advisories mandating the clear labelling of AI-generated political content (Election Commission of India, 2025). Media reports indicate that these directives were reinforced during state election campaigns, urging political parties to ensure transparency in digital advertisements and social media messaging (Times of India, 2025). At the policy level, India's proposal to introduce stricter rules on AI content labelling reflects broader concerns about electoral integrity and public trust in a rapidly evolving information ecosystem (Reuters, 2025).

This paper seeks to provide a comprehensive and critical analysis of role of AI in Indian electoral politics and regulatory challenges faced by the authorities. By examining recent national and state elections, it explores how AI has been deployed and used in the election campaigns, the challenges it poses to democratic norms and the adequacy of existing regulatory responses. The study adopts an analytical approach that integrates technological, ethical and institutional perspectives aiming to contribute to scholarly and policy debates on the future of electoral process in the age of AI.

2. Conceptual Framework

AI has been recognised as both an instrument of political innovation and a source of democratic disruption. Various studies on political communication have highlighted how data analytics and algorithmic targeting has transformed election campaigning by enabling personalised persuasion strategies tailored to individual voters or micro demographic groups in a particular area. Research in political sociology and media studies has further examined the implications of this algorithmic amplification where algorithms of various social media platforms prioritise content based on engagement metrics often intensifying polarisation and emotional discourse on a particular topic.

AI in electoral processes can be categorised into four interrelated domains. First is **AI-enabled campaign communication** which involves predictive analytics and machine learning models that analyse voter data to help in formulation of effective strategies for messaging and resource allocation. Demographic information, social media activity and past voting patterns are processed through machine learning models to identify strategic constituencies and voter groups. Second category **content creation and synthetic media** refers to generative AI tools that produce political text, images, audio and video including deepfakes. **Voter engagement interfaces** is the third category which includes chatbots and automated messaging systems that interact with voters across digital platforms. Fourth category is **administrative and monitoring applications** which refers to AI tools used by election authorities to analyse data, monitor online content and identify potential violations of electoral rules.

Recent scholarship highlights the growing influence of artificial intelligence in Indian electoral politics. Pareek and Garhwal (2024) outline both governance challenges and democratic opportunities, while policy reports by DISA (2024) and DGAP (2024) stress the urgent need for regulatory frameworks to address generative AI–driven misinformation, voter manipulation, and electoral transparency concerns in India.

Articles and studies available in public domain also emphasise on various mechanisms through which AI exerts political influence. Micro targeting allows election campaign strategists to tailor messages to specific voter profiles thus potentially shaping perceptions without public scrutiny. Predictive persuasion models help in forecasting voter responsiveness and optimising campaign timing. Algorithmic amplification on digital platforms magnifies certain narratives often on sensational or polarising content intended to augment the election campaign. Synthetic persuasion enabled by deepfakes and AI-generated endorsements further complicates the environment by blurring the boundary between authentic and fabricated political communication.

All of this raises concerns about the asymmetry of power introduced by AI. Electoral competition risks becoming uneven when political players possess advanced analytical tools while voters lack transparency about how they are targeted. Scholars argue that without robust governance mechanisms increasing use of AI tools may erode voter autonomy, distort deliberative processes and weaken trust in democratic institutions.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly reshaping electoral politics in India, offering both democratic innovations and significant challenges. Scholars note that AI-driven tools such as predictive analytics, voter behaviour analysis, chatbots, and microtargeted communication can enhance campaign outreach and voter engagement by tailoring messages and improving efficiency, potentially leading to more responsive political participation (Narayana, 2024; Krishna, 2024). These capabilities can strengthen democratic innovation by enabling political actors to understand and address diverse voter concerns, especially in a linguistically and culturally heterogeneous landscape.

However, the integration of AI in elections also raises profound risks. AI systems can exacerbate algorithmic bias, infringe on privacy, and generate misinformation at scale, including deepfakes that manipulate public perception and distort electoral discourse (Quraishi, 2024; Political Marketer, 2024). Such risks undermine trust in democratic institutions and compromise the fairness of electoral competition. The opaque nature of many AI algorithms further complicates accountability and transparency, potentially enabling undetected manipulation of voter data and sentiment.

The regulatory landscape in India remains inadequate to address these issues effectively. Existing legal frameworks including the Representation of the People Act and the Information Technology Act do not specifically regulate AI use in political campaigning or synthetic media, creating a

regulatory vacuum that allows exploitation of AI without clear oversight (Political Marketer, 2025; Lawful Legal, 2025). Scholars argue for robust, dedicated regulations that mandate transparency, protect privacy, and establish accountability mechanisms to balance innovation with democratic integrity. Without such frameworks, AI's dual-use potential as both a democratic enhancer and a tool for manipulation remains unresolved, posing ongoing challenges for India's electoral democracy.

3. Evolution of Technology in Indian Elections

India's engagement with electoral technology initially focused on improving the mechanism of voting rather than influencing voter perception. The introduction of EVMs in the late twentieth century aimed to reduce ballot tampering, administrative inefficiencies and ensuring free and fair elections. More recently, VVPAT systems were incorporated to enhance public confidence by giving an option for audit.

The contemporary use of AI tools represents a qualitative departure from these earlier technologies. Unlike EVMs and VVPAT, AI operates primarily within the **information ecosystem** shaping what voters see, hear, and believe. Starting with social media-driven campaigning in the 2014 and 2019 general elections, political parties and their strategists, leaders and workers increasingly relied on data analytics to segment audiences. By 2024 advances in generative AI, speech synthesis, and predictive modelling allowed them to automate and personalise political messaging at an unprecedented scale during election campaigning.

4. AI Applications in Indian Elections

Over the past few years AI has been deployed across multiple dimensions of electoral campaigns in India thereby reflecting both technological innovation and strategic adaptation. One of the most significant applications has been in the area of **campaign communication and voter targeting**. Political parties and their strategists increasingly rely on AI driven analytics to process vast quantities of voter data which includes demographic information, social media behaviour and historical voting patterns. Insights from this analysis leads to informed decisions about where to campaign, which messages to emphasise and how to allocate resources more efficiently.

During the 2024 Lok Sabha elections AI enabled systems were reportedly used to automate outreach through SMS, voice calls, and messaging applications. These systems allowed election campaign managers to deliver region specific and language specific messages at large scale thereby increasing efficiency while reducing dependence on human volunteers. Sentiment analysis tools also enabled campaigns to further track public reactions in real time and adjust campaign strategies accordingly.

Another prominent application has been g**enerative AI and synthetic media**. Advances in generative models have made it possible to create realistic political videos, images, and audio with minimal technical expertise. While such tools can be used for legitimate purposes such as translating speeches into multiple languages or creating accessible campaign material, they also facilitate the production of misleading or deceptive content. Deepfake videos circulating during several recent elections have demonstrated how synthetic media can influence public discourse and provoke controversy even when these are labelled as AI generated.

AI has also reshaped **voter interaction and engagement** through automated systems such as chatbots. These tools can respond to voter queries, disseminate information about candidates and polling procedures and maintain continuous engagement across digital platforms. In a multilingual and geographically diverse country like India AI enabled interfaces offer scalability and inclusivity. However, they also raise ethical questions regarding transparency, authenticity and potential manipulation.

Finally, AI has also been deployed in **administrative and monitoring functions**. Election authorities have experimented with AI tools to analyse voter rolls, monitor social media for prohibited content and detect patterns indicative of electoral malpractice. While such applications can strengthen institutional capacity, they also demand technical expertise, robust inter-agency coordination and clear legal mandates to ensure fair, unambiguous and consistent enforcement of rules.

5. Case Studies: State Elections

State elections in India provide valuable insights into how AI operates in varied political and administrative contexts. The 2025 Bihar Assembly elections marked a particularly significant moment in the use of AI tools and processes in electoral campaigning. Anticipating the misuse of synthetic media Election Commission of India (ECI) issued detailed advisories cautioning political parties against the creation and dissemination of AI generated content that could mislead voters. These advisories required explicit labelling of synthetic content and emphasised accountability for digital campaign material.

Despite these measures, controversies emerged when AI generated videos depicting political leaders in emotionally sensitive scenarios were circulated online. Although some of this content carried AI generated labels, it sparked public backlash and legal complaints underscoring the limitations of disclosure-based regulation. In response the ECI adopted a stricter stance by prohibiting the use of AI generated videos in campaign during the Bihar elections[2]. This intervention highlighted the evolving nature of electoral governance in response to risks posed using AI tools and technology.

In Uttar Pradesh assembly polls AI tools were widely used for predictive analytics and voter segmentation. Campaign managers and election strategists analysed booth level data and social media trends to prioritise constituencies and tailor messaging. While these practices enhanced efficiency, they also raised concerns about micro targeting, voter profiling and the reinforcement of social divisions through algorithmic categorisation.

The Karnataka Assembly elections illustrated the use of AI for multilingual outreach and content adaptation. AI assisted translation and content generation enabled campaigns to engage voters across linguistic communities. However, disputes arose over the accuracy and fairness of AI generated political messaging drawing attention to the ethical implications of automated content production.

During assembly polls in Telangana AI supported chatbots and automated messaging systems were deployed to provide voter information and campaign updates. These tools improved accessibility but also raised questions about data collection practices, consent and algorithmic transparency.

A common pattern emerged across all these states during elections: AI tools and technology enhanced the reach and responsiveness of the election campaign while simultaneously exposing regulatory gaps and ethical dilemmas.

6. National Elections: The 2024 Lok Sabha Case

The 2024 Lok Sabha elections represented the most extensive use of AI in Indian electoral history. National level election campaigns invested heavily in AI driven communication strategies leveraging predictive analytics, automated messaging and generative media to reach millions of voters. AI enabled robocalls and personalised messages were used to deliver tailored political content across regions and languages reflecting the scale and complexity of India's electoral environment.

Generative AI played a particularly visible role during the nationwide election campaign by various political parties. AI generated videos and audio clips depicting political leaders circulated widely on social media platforms. Some of this content was flagged by fact checkers and regulators while other material spread rapidly before corrective measures could be implemented. These incidents intensified debates about misinformation, platform responsibility and the adequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms.

The Election Commission responded by reiterating guidelines on ethical digital campaigning and emphasising internal accountability for AI-generated content. However, the national elections demonstrated the limitations of reactive regulation in the face of rapidly evolving technologies. The scale of digital campaigning, combined with algorithmic amplification on social media platforms posed significant challenges for real time monitoring and enforcement.

7. Ethical, Legal, and Democratic Implications

The integration of AI into electoral processes raises fundamental ethical and democratic concerns. One of the most pressing issues is **misinformation and the erosion of trust**. AI generated synthetic media can undermine the credibility of political communication by making it difficult for voters to distinguish between authentic and fabricated content. Repeated exposure to manipulated media during election campaign risks fostering cynicism and disengagement among voters thereby undermining the sanctity of this democratic process.

Data privacy and voter profiling poses another critical challenge. AI driven campaigns rely on extensive data collection and analysis, often without explicit voter consent or transparency. The aggregation of personal data for political targeting raises concerns about surveillance, autonomy and the potential misuse of this sensitive information. In the absence of comprehensive data protection and AI specific electoral legislation these practices remain largely unregulated.

Regulatory and enforcement gaps further complicate governance. Existing electoral laws including the Representation of the People Act, the Model Code of Conduct and the Conduct of Election Rules etc were not designed to address algorithmic campaigning or generative AI. While ECI advisories represent an important step, they lack the binding force and technical specificity required for consistent and unambiguous enforcement.

Finally, **algorithmic bias** poses risks to democratic fairness. AI systems trained on historical data may reproduce or amplify existing social inequalities influencing which voters are targeted and how political messages are framed. Without transparency and accountability such biases can distort electoral competition and undermine the principle of equal political participation.

8. Policy Recommendations

To ensure that AI contributes positively to India's electoral democracy a comprehensive governance framework is required.

First, **legal reforms** should explicitly regulate AI use in elections defining synthetic media, establishing disclosure obligations and protecting voter data.

Second, **transparency standards** must require clear labelling of AI generated content and public reporting of AI driven campaign practices by creators and distributors.

Third, **institutional capacity building** is essential. Election authorities need technical expertise, monitoring tools and robust inter-agency collaboration to oversee and regulate AI enabled campaigning effectively.

Fourth, **public awareness and digital literacy initiatives** should be undertaken at a large scale to bolster media literacy and critical appraisal skills among voters. This will empower voters to critically evaluate political content and recognise synthetic media.

Finally, **platform accountability mechanisms** must be strengthened ensuring that digital intermediaries play an active role in detecting and mitigating AI driven misinformation. Social media platforms should adopt proactive content verification, reporting and rapid removal systems for misleading AI content.

9. Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence has rapidly become integral to electoral landscapes in India. AI is reshaping electoral politics in India in profound and complex ways. Case studies from recent elections demonstrate both the innovative potential and the destabilising risks of AI driven campaigning. While AI offers opportunities for enhanced engagement, efficiency, and inclusivity, it also introduces significant risks to electoral integrity, voter

autonomy and democratic trust. Experiences from recent national and state elections demonstrate that AI's impact is neither inherently positive nor negative, it depends on the regulatory, institutional and ethical frameworks governing its use.

As India continues to navigate the digital transformation of its democracy, proactive governance, legal clarity and informed citizenry will be essential. The challenge lies not in rejecting AI but in using and governing it responsibly. Ensuring that AI strengthens rather than undermines electoral processes requires coordinated efforts among policymakers, election authorities, political parties, technology platforms and civil society. Only through such a holistic approach can India safeguard the democratic values at the heart of its electoral system.

References

1. Krishna, K. (2024). Transforming democracy: The influence of artificial intelligence on political campaigns in India. Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management, 9(2). JISEM Journal
2. Narayana, S. (2024). AI-powered political campaigns: Ethical implications and regulatory challenges. ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts, 5(7), 1767–1772. Granthaalayah Publication
3. Pareek, K. S., & Garhwal, S. K. (2024). Artificial intelligence in Indian politics: Challenges and opportunities. Shodhshauryam: International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 7(3), 108–116. Shodhshauryam
4. Quraishi, S. Y. (2024, October 31). AI in elections and the new threats and opportunities for democracy. The Indian Express. The Indian Express
5. AI and elections in India: The necessity for enhanced regulation. (2024). DISA. (n.d.). DISA
6. Generative AI and its influence on India's 2024 elections. (2024). DGAP. (n.d.). DGAP
7. AI and technology in politics: How emerging tech is reshaping Indian democracy. (2025). Political Marketer. (n.d.). Political Marketing Strategy Consultant
8. Election Commission issues advisories on AI content labelling. (2025). Election Commission of India advisory [News report]. India Today+1
9. 'Label all AI-generated content or ads posted on social media': EC to parties amid Bihar poll campaigns. (2025). Times of India. The Times of India
10. India proposes strict rules to label AI content citing growing risks. (2025, October 22). Reuters.
11. Political Marketer. (2024). Political marketing and campaign communication.
12. Political Marketer. (2025). Trends and innovations in political marketing.
13. Lawful Legal. (2025). Legal perspectives on political communication and regulation.