

The 2023 Israel-Hamas Conflict: Geopolitical Ripples from the Middle East to the Indo-Pacific

Amaresh Jha ^{a*}^aAssociate Professor, School of Liberal Studies & Media, University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, Dehradun, India.**Corresponding Author:**

Amaresh Jha

Associate Professor, School of Liberal Studies & Media,
University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, Dehradun, India.
Email: jha.amaresh@gmail.com**Article info****Received:** 15 September 2024**Accepted:** 9 November 2024**Published:** 30 December 2024**Keywords:**Israel-Hamas Conflict, Middle East, Indo-Pacific,
Humanitarian Crisis, U.S. Foreign Policy, Iran, Hezbollah,
Regional Destabilization**ORICD:** 0000-0002-6083-1610**How to cite this article:** Amaresh Jha, "The 2023 Israel-Hamas Conflict: Geopolitical Ripples from the Middle East to the Indo-Pacific", *International Journal of Politics and Media*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 10-15, Dec. 2024. Retrieved from <https://ijpmonline.com/index.php/ojs/article/view/56>**Abstract**

The 2023 Israel-Hamas conflict has sent geopolitical shockwaves far beyond the Middle East, influencing strategic dynamics in the Indo-Pacific region. This paper explores how the war has reshaped alliances, regional stability, and foreign policy across Asia, focusing on India's positioning amid this evolving landscape. While Middle Eastern powers like Iran and Hezbollah engaged more directly in the conflict, global players including the United States, China, and Russia have adjusted their strategies in both the Middle East and the Indo-Pacific. India, a key player in the Indo-Pacific, faces new challenges and opportunities. Balancing its traditional ties with Israel, growing energy dependence on the Gulf, and its Indo-Pacific strategy has placed India in a delicate position. This analysis delves into the broader implications of the Israel-Hamas conflict for India's geopolitical strategy, economic interests, and its role in an increasingly multipolar Indo-Pacific region.

1. Introduction

The 2023 Israel-Hamas conflict, ignited on October 7, 2023, when Hamas launched a large-scale surprise offensive into Israeli territory, has reverberated far beyond the borders of Gaza and Israel, altering the geopolitical calculus in regions as far as the Indo-Pacific. In what has become one of the most devastating escalations in the history of Israeli-Palestinian hostilities, the war has not only resulted in massive loss of life and a humanitarian crisis but has also triggered a rethinking of strategic alliances and power dynamics across several regions, including the Indo-Pacific. The conflict highlights the interconnectedness of global geopolitics, where instability in one region can influence political, economic, and security considerations in another. This introduction examines the historical context of the Israel-Hamas conflict, its escalation in 2023, and the far-reaching geopolitical implications, with a particular focus on how it impacts the Indo-Pacific region and India's foreign policy strategies.

The international community, including U.S. Secretary of State Antony Blinken, has sought to broker a ceasefire and negotiate the release of hostages, but these diplomatic efforts have yielded limited results. Regional dynamics have further complicated the conflict, with Hezbollah fighters in Lebanon engaging in cross-border skirmishes with the IDF and Iran-backed Houthi rebels in Yemen launching missile attacks on Israel. Additional Iranian-supported groups have targeted U.S. military positions in Iraq and Syria, heightening the risk of the conflict expanding into a broader regional war.

2. Emergence of Hamas and Internal Palestinian Factionalism

Hamas, an Islamist political and militant group, emerged in 1987 during the first Palestinian intifada as an offshoot of the Muslim Brotherhood. Its rise to power in Gaza was solidified after the group won the 2006 Palestinian parliamentary elections, displacing the long-dominant Fatah party. This shift intensified internal Palestinian factionalism, leading to violent clashes between Hamas and Fatah, culminating in Hamas seizing control of Gaza in 2007. Since then, Hamas has governed Gaza, while Fatah has retained control of the Palestinian Authority in the West Bank.

Hamas' governance in Gaza and its armed confrontations with Israel, including the 2014 Gaza-Israel war and intermittent rocket attacks, have resulted in significant civilian casualties and infrastructure destruction. Despite multiple ceasefire agreements, such as those brokered by Egypt in 2014, tensions have periodically reignited, exacerbated by Israeli military responses and the ongoing Israeli blockade of Gaza. The failure of peace talks and continued factionalism between Hamas and Fatah have hindered efforts to establish a unified Palestinian front, further complicating the prospect of a peaceful resolution to the conflict.

The armed factions in South Lebanon play a significant role in the dynamics of the Israel-Hamas conflict, especially given the complex relationships among these groups and their ties to regional powers. Hezbollah, the Lebanese Shia Islamist group formed in the 1980s, stands out for its robust paramilitary capabilities and deep-seated opposition to Israel, which it views as a primary adversary. Acting as an Iranian

proxy, Hezbollah has historically engaged in numerous confrontations with Israel, which complicates the conflict further by threatening to escalate violence beyond Gaza. Additionally, the Izz al-Din al-Qassam Brigades, the military wing of Hamas, operates from Gaza but maintains a presence in southern Lebanon, receiving funding and arms from Iran. This interconnection suggests a strategic alignment among various factions. Another critical player is the Al-Quds Brigades, the armed wing of Palestinian Islamic Jihad (PIJ), also funded by Iran, and active in multiple theaters, including Lebanon. Furthermore, the Al-Fajr Forces, associated with the Lebanese Sunni organization "al-Jamaa al-Islamiyah", and the Abu Ali Mustafa Brigades, the military wing of the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine (PFLP), indicate a wider network of armed groups operating in Lebanon, some of which have announced mobilization but have not yet claimed direct attacks. Collectively, these factions not only reflect the intricate web of alliances and enmities in the region but also represent a potential for broader conflict, should tensions rise and lead to coordinated military actions against Israel.

3. Literature Review

The Israel-Palestine conflict, deeply entrenched in a complex web of historical, political, and ideological factors, has been notably influenced by the roles of armed factions, particularly Hezbollah and Hamas. Moorthy and Baranovich (2011) discuss the evolving terror strategies employed by these groups, emphasizing how their distinct approaches to resistance are shaped by the unique geopolitical contexts in which they operate. While Hamas primarily utilizes asymmetric warfare and terror tactics to confront Israel, Hezbollah's strategies are characterized by a mix of conventional military engagements and guerrilla warfare, reflecting its dual role as both a political entity and a militant organization. This dichotomy underscores the differing origins and political landscapes from which these groups emerged.

Hezbollah's formation in the 1980s as a response to Israeli incursions into Lebanon and its ongoing conflict with the Israeli state has rendered it a formidable actor in the region. Daher and ProQuest (2019) provide a comprehensive analysis of Hezbollah's mobilization and the subsequent consolidation of its power within Lebanese society, highlighting its ability to leverage social services and political representation to garner support. Similarly, Avon and Khatchadourian (2012) offer a historical overview of Hezbollah's evolution, tracing its roots to the Iranian Revolution and its subsequent transformation into a major political force within Lebanon, effectively intertwining its military objectives with domestic political aspirations.

Hamas, on the other hand, arose as a response to the Israeli occupation of Palestinian territories and has navigated a complex relationship with both the Palestinian Authority and other regional powers. Caridi (2023) explores this transition from a resistance movement to a governing authority in Gaza, examining the implications of this shift for its military strategies and relations with Israel. Seurat (2022) further elaborates on Hamas's ideological underpinnings and decision-making processes, revealing how its governance style has affected its military engagements and diplomatic relations, particularly with Iran.

The broader historical context of the Israel-Palestine conflict, as detailed by Tucker (2013) and Karsh (2000), reveals the longstanding grievances and territorial disputes that fuel hostilities. The conflict's complexity is further accentuated by the regional dimensions, where various actors, including Iran, play critical roles in supporting groups like Hezbollah and Hamas. Cypel (2020) addresses the international implications of these alliances, arguing that the conflict has significant repercussions beyond the immediate geographic area, impacting global security dynamics.

The ramifications of the Israel-Hamas conflict extend beyond the immediate actors involved. Shalom (2019) scrutinizes the U.S.-Israel relationship in the context of the 2014 Gaza war, illustrating how American foreign policy is influenced by the dynamics of this conflict. Zanotti, Sharp, and the Congressional Research Service (2023) provide a concise overview of the ongoing tensions, emphasizing how cycles of violence affect not only the direct participants but also broader geopolitical relationships.

Piterberg (2013) and Haddad (2014) discuss the evolving narratives surrounding Palestinian identity and self-determination, shedding light on how these themes intersect with armed resistance and the struggle for political legitimacy. Their analyses highlight the multifaceted nature of the conflict, where ideological, cultural, and political dimensions continually reshape the landscape of resistance.

Overall, the literature presents a nuanced understanding of the Israel-Palestine conflict, emphasizing the interplay of local and regional factors, the roles of key militant actors like Hezbollah and Hamas, and the broader geopolitical implications. The evolving strategies and motivations of these groups not only reflect their historical contexts but also indicate the potential for future conflicts and diplomatic negotiations in a region marked by complexity and contention.

4. International and Regional Developments

The Israel-Hamas conflict has not only destabilized the immediate region but also influenced broader Middle Eastern dynamics. Iranian-backed militias, such as Hezbollah in Lebanon and the Houthi rebels in Yemen, have engaged in military actions against Israel, contributing to the potential for regional escalation. Iran's longstanding support for Hamas and other militant groups has reinforced its influence in the broader Middle East, challenging efforts by the United States and its allies to contain Iranian activities.

The conflict has also strained relations between Israel and the Arab world, despite recent diplomatic breakthroughs, such as the Abraham Accords, which normalized relations between Israel and several Arab states, including the United Arab Emirates and Bahrain. These accords, brokered by the Trump administration, marked a significant shift in regional diplomacy, although they did not address the underlying issues of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict.

5. Historical Context of the Israel-Hamas Conflict

The roots of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict can be traced to the late 19th century, with tensions over territorial and national identity intensifying in the years leading up to the establishment of the State of Israel in 1948. The United Nations' adoption of Resolution 181 in 1947, known as the Partition Plan, proposed dividing the British Mandate of Palestine into Jewish and Arab states. However, the creation of

Israel in 1948 triggered the first Arab Israeli war, resulting in the displacement of approximately 750,000 Palestinians and the division of the region into the State of Israel, the West Bank, and the Gaza Strip.

Subsequent decades saw multiple wars, including the 1967 Six-Day War, during which Israel captured key territories such as the Sinai Peninsula, Gaza Strip, West Bank, East Jerusalem, and the Golan Heights. The 1973 Yom Kippur War further entrenched these territorial disputes, despite post-war peace efforts like the 1979 Camp David Accords, which normalized relations between Israel and Egypt. However, the broader question of Palestinian statehood and self-determination remained unresolved, leading to continued unrest and violence in the region.

The Israel-Hamas conflict has deep roots, intertwined with the broader Israeli-Palestinian dispute, which has been a flashpoint in Middle Eastern geopolitics for over a century. The modern phase of this conflict can be traced back to the 20th century, with the establishment of the State of Israel in 1948, which triggered a series of Arab-Israeli wars, displacements, and the ongoing struggle over territory, identity, and statehood. Hamas, an Islamist militant group, emerged in 1987 during the First Intifada, a Palestinian uprising against Israeli occupation. Since then, Hamas has positioned itself as both a political and militant entity, advocating for the establishment of an Islamic state in historic Palestine and resisting Israel through both political means and violent conflict.

The group gained prominence in 2006 after winning Palestinian legislative elections and later seizing control of the Gaza Strip in 2007. Since then, Gaza has been under an Israeli blockade, punctuated by intermittent wars and military operations between Hamas and Israel, each causing widespread destruction and loss of life. Despite repeated ceasefires and international efforts at mediation, peace between Israel and Hamas has remained elusive.

The 2023 escalation marks yet another devastating chapter in this ongoing conflict, but its significance extends beyond its immediate impact in the Middle East. The involvement of regional actors like Iran, Hezbollah, and other militias, coupled with the reactions of global powers like the United States, Russia, and China, have heightened tensions and prompted strategic realignments that ripple across other strategic regions, including the Indo-Pacific.

The events of October 7, 2023, mark one of the deadliest attacks in Israel's history, as Hamas fighters poured across the border, killing 1,200 Israelis in a multi-pronged offensive that included missile strikes, ground assaults, and hostage-taking. In response, Israel launched a comprehensive military operation targeting Hamas in Gaza, which, over the course of the following months, resulted in the deaths of more than 41,000 Palestinians, many of them civilians. The escalation quickly morphed into a regional conflict, with Israel launching strikes against Hezbollah in Lebanon, and Iran openly supporting Hamas, further fueling tensions.

This new phase of the conflict has significant implications for the broader Middle East. Iran's involvement, the destabilization of Lebanon, and the possibility of proxy wars across the region all point to a growing complexity in the regional power struggle. The involvement of non-state actors, such as Hezbollah and Houthi rebels in Yemen,

complicates the situation, making it harder for international diplomacy to find pathways to peace.

Moreover, this escalation has shifted focus to how global powers are reacting to the crisis. The United States, historically a staunch ally of Israel, has found itself navigating an increasingly delicate diplomatic balancing act. While initially providing strong support to Israel's right to self-defense, the U.S. has faced growing pressure to mediate and push for humanitarian relief in Gaza. European countries, meanwhile, are divided in their approach, with some advocating for stronger action against Hamas and others calling for a more balanced approach that considers Palestinian grievances.

6. The Global Geopolitical Fallout

As the Israel-Hamas conflict continues, its geopolitical ripples have reached far beyond the Middle East. Global powers are reconsidering their positions in light of the crisis. Russia, already embroiled in its war with Ukraine, sees the conflict as an opportunity to undermine Western influence in the Middle East. China, with its growing ambitions in the region, particularly in terms of its Belt and Road Initiative, is playing a cautious role, balancing its economic ties with Middle Eastern nations and its broader geopolitical rivalry with the United States.

For the United States, the Middle East has long been a cornerstone of its foreign policy, primarily due to its strategic interests in maintaining stability, securing energy resources, and containing Iran's influence. However, as Washington pivots more of its attention toward countering China's influence in the Indo-Pacific, the Israel-Hamas conflict poses a challenge to this realignment. U.S. military and diplomatic resources are increasingly stretched as the Biden administration seeks to maintain its commitments to Israel, while simultaneously focusing on the Indo-Pacific to counterbalance China's growing assertiveness.

7. The Indo-Pacific Connection

The Israel-Palestine conflict, particularly in its recent iteration with the 2023 Israel-Hamas war, has traditionally been considered a localized conflict within the Middle East. However, its implications extend beyond the immediate region and could have ripple effects on the broader Indo-Pacific region. Here are several ways the conflict could impact the Indo-Pacific:

8. Energy Security and Trade Disruptions

The Indo-Pacific region is heavily reliant on energy imports, particularly oil and natural gas from the Middle East. The Israel-Palestine conflict raises the risk of broader regional instability, potentially affecting key maritime routes like the Suez Canal and the Strait of Hormuz, which are vital for global energy supplies. Any disruption in these areas, either through direct attacks on shipping routes or blockades, could significantly impact energy supplies to Indo-Pacific nations such as India, China, Japan, and Southeast Asia.

For instance, tensions between Israel and Iran, or Iran's proxy groups like Hezbollah and the Houthis, could escalate into a wider regional war that threatens energy infrastructure and tanker routes. Disruptions could lead to increased energy prices, affecting economies in the Indo-

Pacific that are still recovering from the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic.

9. Geopolitical Realignments

The conflict may prompt geopolitical realignments in the Indo-Pacific. Countries with significant Muslim populations, such as Indonesia and Malaysia, have historically supported the Palestinian cause. A prolonged or increasingly violent conflict could push these countries to advocate more strongly for Palestinian rights, affecting their relations with Israel and its allies, including the United States and India.

On the other hand, nations like India, which have been strengthening ties with Israel in defense, technology, and agriculture, could face diplomatic challenges in balancing their relationships with Middle Eastern countries that support Palestine. India, in particular, has to carefully navigate its longstanding support for the Palestinian cause with its strategic interests in Israel. This balancing act could affect India's broader foreign policy posture in the Indo-Pacific, where it seeks to counter China's growing influence.

10. Impact on U.S. Strategy in the Indo-Pacific

The United States, a key player in both the Middle East and the Indo-Pacific, could find its resources and strategic focus stretched between these two regions. Washington's significant support for Israel, including military aid and diplomatic backing, could complicate its efforts to build coalitions in the Indo-Pacific, especially among Muslim-majority countries.

Moreover, if the Israel-Palestine conflict escalates into a larger Middle Eastern war involving Iran, Hezbollah, and other regional actors, the U.S. could be forced to divert military and economic resources away from its Indo-Pacific strategy, which focuses on countering China's influence. This shift could create a vacuum in the Indo-Pacific, potentially allowing China to strengthen its foothold in the region. U.S. allies like Japan, South Korea, and Australia may also be concerned about the reliability of American commitments if Washington is bogged down in another Middle Eastern conflict.

11. China's Role and Influence

China has positioned itself as a rising power in the Indo-Pacific, but its involvement in the Middle East has been growing, especially through initiatives like the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) and its economic partnerships with Gulf states. The Israel-Palestine conflict could open new diplomatic and economic opportunities for China in the region. For instance, Beijing could use its influence in countries like Iran or its neutral stance on the Israel-Palestine issue to mediate in the conflict, thereby increasing its global stature as a peacemaker.

In the Indo-Pacific, this could further bolster China's soft power and economic influence, especially among Muslim-majority countries that sympathize with Palestine. Additionally, if the conflict leads to more instability in global energy markets, China's state-owned enterprises, which are involved in infrastructure projects and energy deals in the Middle East, could face disruptions. China may then look to secure alternative energy sources in the Indo-

Pacific, potentially intensifying competition for resources in the region.

12. Terrorism and Security Threats

The Israel-Palestine conflict has historically fueled radicalization and jihadist movements, which could have implications for security in the Indo-Pacific. Southeast Asia, particularly Indonesia, Malaysia, and the Philippines, has faced the threat of Islamist terrorism, with militant groups expressing solidarity with Palestinians and using the conflict as a rallying cause. An escalation of violence in Gaza or the West Bank could inspire extremist elements in the Indo-Pacific, leading to a resurgence of terrorism or radicalization in vulnerable communities.

Countries in the Indo-Pacific, particularly those with significant Muslim populations, might see a rise in protests, increased pressure on their governments to take a stand, and, in some cases, domestic security challenges stemming from sympathy for the Palestinian cause. The conflict could serve as a recruiting tool for extremist groups that frame it as part of a broader struggle against Western and Israeli hegemony, potentially destabilizing parts of the Indo-Pacific.

13. Diplomatic and Multilateral Implications

The Israel-Palestine conflict also has implications for multilateral institutions and regional diplomacy in the Indo-Pacific. Organizations like the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) have historically taken a neutral or pro-Palestinian stance, and a prolonged conflict could deepen divides within such organizations. Countries that are trying to maintain good relations with both Israel and Palestine could be forced into difficult diplomatic positions.

Additionally, the conflict could impact platforms like the G20 or the United Nations, where Indo-Pacific nations play an influential role. For example, India, which presided over the G20 in 2023, could face increased pressure to address the Israel-Palestine issue in global forums, complicating its diplomatic agenda and focus on Indo-Pacific issues like climate change, trade, and regional security.

The Indo-Pacific region, which has become a key theater for geopolitical competition between the United States and China, is indirectly affected by the Israel-Hamas conflict. The region's importance lies in its economic and strategic significance, hosting major global trade routes and being home to some of the world's largest economies, including India, Japan, Australia, and Southeast Asian nations. As the U.S. and its allies focus on maintaining a free and open Indo-Pacific to counter Chinese expansionism, instability in the Middle East threatens to divert attention and resources away from this objective.

For India, a rising power in the Indo-Pacific, the conflict poses a unique set of challenges and opportunities. India has long maintained a careful diplomatic balancing act between Israel and the Arab world, cultivating strong ties with both. Israel is a key defense partner, providing India with advanced military technology and intelligence cooperation. At the same time, India has deep economic ties with the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) states, from which it imports a significant portion of its energy needs. Additionally, millions of Indian nationals work in the Gulf, making stability in the region critical for India's economic interests.

The Israel-Hamas war puts India in a difficult position. On one hand, New Delhi values its defense ties with Israel and has expressed support for Israel's right to defend itself against terrorism. On the other hand, India must also navigate its relations with the Arab world, where public sentiment strongly favors the Palestinian cause. The conflict complicates India's diplomatic efforts to deepen its strategic ties in the Middle East while simultaneously aligning itself more closely with the United States in the Indo-Pacific.

Furthermore, instability in the Middle East, particularly involving Iran, has implications for India's connectivity projects, such as the International North-South Transport Corridor (INSTC), which seeks to link India to Central Asia and Europe through Iran. Escalating tensions could disrupt these plans, forcing India to reassess its broader regional strategy.

14. History of Middle East's Geopolitical Complexity

The Middle East's geopolitical complexity is a product of its rich history, abundant energy resources, and religious significance. The region's centrality as a crossroads of civilizations has made it a focal point for global power struggles and a breeding ground for conflict. While the challenges facing the Middle East are formidable, the recent geopolitical shifts offer hope for a more stable and prosperous future. However, achieving lasting peace and stability will require sustained efforts from all stakeholders, including regional powers, international organizations, and the people of the Middle East themselves. The Middle East's geopolitical importance dates back to the dawn of civilization. Ancient Mesopotamia, Egypt, and the Indus Valley witnessed the rise of powerful empires that shaped the region's cultural and political landscape. The advent of Islam in the 7th century united the region under a common faith, creating a vast Islamic empire that stretched across continents. However, the decline of the caliphates and the subsequent rise of European colonialism in the 19th and 20th centuries led to the region's fragmentation and the imposition of foreign rule. The Sykes-Picot Agreement and the Balfour Declaration, both products of European colonial ambitions, laid the groundwork for the modern Middle East, with its artificial borders and competing nationalisms. This region is historically situated at the crossroads of Europe, Asia, and Africa, has been a geopolitical hotbed for centuries. Its complex tapestry, woven with threads of history, energy resources, and religious significance, has made it a focal point for global power struggles. This paper delves into the historical roots of the region's geopolitical complexities, the role of energy resources, and the ongoing conflicts that continue to shape its contemporary landscape.

15. Energy Resources and Geopolitical Rivalry

The discovery of vast oil reserves in the Middle East during the mid-20th century transformed the region into an energy hub. Control over these resources became a central tenet of geopolitical rivalries between major powers. The Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC), founded in 1960, empowered oil-

producing nations to exert significant influence on the global economy. The Arab Israeli conflict, which erupted following Israel's creation in 1948, further complicated the region's geopolitics, drawing international involvement and fueling regional tensions.

16. Contemporary Challenges and Geopolitical Shifts

The Middle East continues to grapple with a myriad of challenges, including ongoing conflicts, political instability, and socio-economic disparities. The Arab Spring uprisings of 2011, while initially promising democratic reforms, ultimately led to widespread instability and civil wars in countries like Syria, Libya, and Yemen. The rise of extremist groups like ISIS and the Taliban further exacerbated the region's security challenges.

Recent geopolitical developments have also reshaped the Middle East landscape. The Abraham Accords, a series of normalization agreements between Israel and several Arab states, have the potential to alter regional dynamics. The growing influence of China in the Middle East, coupled with the historic agreement between Saudi Arabia and Iran, signals a shift away from traditional alliances and a new era of geopolitical competition.

17. Global Implications of the Hamas-Israel Conflict

The recent escalation of violence between Hamas and Israel has once again thrust the Middle East into the global spotlight. Hamas's surprise attack on Israel, the largest mass murder of Jews since the Holocaust, has triggered a devastating conflict with far-reaching implications. The Israeli military's swift and intense retaliation, including the use of overwhelming firepower, has led to a humanitarian crisis in Gaza.

The conflict has exposed vulnerabilities in Israeli intelligence and security measures, raising questions about their ability to anticipate such a large-scale attack. The international community has condemned the violence and called for a ceasefire, but the prospects for a lasting resolution remain uncertain. The conflict has also highlighted the challenges of maintaining peace in a region plagued by historical grievances, competing narratives, and deep-seated mistrust.

18. Implications of Hamas-Israel Conflict for India

The Hamas-Israel conflict has significant implications for India, a major player in the Middle East. India's evolving relationship with Israel and its historical support for Palestine necessitate a delicate balancing act. The conflict risks disrupting India's diplomatic efforts, impacting its economic interests, and potentially jeopardizing its relations with Arab nations.

India's response to the conflict has been measured, expressing support for Israel while calling for a peaceful resolution and emphasizing the need to uphold international humanitarian law. The crisis also highlights the importance of a robust national security strategy for India, capable of addressing threats from non-state actors and protecting its interests in a volatile region.

The conflict has not only shaken Israel's sense of security but has also had profound consequences for the broader Middle East. Brian Michael Jenkins notes that the October 7 attacks shattered Israel's reputation for intelligence prowess and exposed weaknesses in its deterrence strategy. This has led to increased hostility from Hezbollah and Iranian-backed militias. Michelle Grisé highlights that Iran, emboldened by the conflict, has carried out direct attacks on Israel, further destabilizing the region and complicating U.S.-led diplomatic efforts. Despite this, the normalization of ties between Israel and Arab states like Saudi Arabia has endured. Cohen notes that while regional tensions have flared, Arab states have not imposed harsh penalties on Israel, illustrating the resilience of diplomatic ties even amid widespread conflict.

The prospects for a negotiated resolution appear bleak. Both Israel and Hamas view the conflict as existential, making compromise difficult. Schwartz argues that the United States has a crucial role to play in holding Israel accountable, particularly regarding civilian casualties in Gaza. However, as Cohen points out, international mediators, including Qatar and Egypt, seem more eager for a resolution than the combatants themselves. This stalemate complicates efforts to cease hostilities, further entrenching both sides in a prolonged struggle.

The U.S. approach to the conflict has evolved, with growing frustration toward Israel's tactics. Cohen observes that while the Biden administration initially supported Israel unequivocally, it has since pushed for a more balanced approach, advocating for ceasefires while maintaining support for Israel's right to defend itself. Schwartz notes that this shift reflects broader geopolitical concerns, as the U.S. seeks to balance its historic alliance with Israel against the need to maintain stability in the region and mitigate tensions with Iran.

The humanitarian toll on both Israeli and Palestinian populations has been catastrophic. Shelly Culbertson reports that Gaza has been devastated, with over 90% of the population displaced, hospitals out of service, and critical infrastructure destroyed. The scale of destruction, including the deaths of 41,000 Palestinians, has contributed to a growing humanitarian crisis that will take years, if not decades, to resolve.

On the Israeli side, the initial attacks led to 1,200 civilian deaths, and over 100,000 Israelis have been displaced due to continued rocket fire from Hezbollah and other militant groups. Schwartz predicts that large-scale combat operations may wind down by early 2025, but counterterrorism efforts will persist indefinitely.

Looking ahead, the future of the Israel-Hamas conflict remains uncertain. Heather Williams emphasizes that the course of the conflict largely depends on actions taken by key global players, including the United States, Iran, Russia, and China. Meanwhile, Cohen argues that as long as hostages remain unaccounted for and key Hamas leaders are at large, military operations will likely continue, albeit on a smaller scale.

The Israel-Hamas conflict that began on October 7, 2023, has had far-reaching consequences that extend beyond Gaza and Israel. The humanitarian toll, regional destabilization, and shifting global alliances underscore the complexity of this ongoing crisis. While a resolution

remains elusive, the role of international mediators, the shifting U.S. stance, and the resilience of regional ties will continue to shape the trajectory of this conflict and its impact on the global geopolitical landscape.

Conflict of Interest: The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding : The author has not received any grant or financial support for this research.

References

1. Moorthy R., & Baranovich N. (2011). The dynamics of terror strategies by Hezbollah and Hamas in the Israel-palestine conflict. *Tamkang Journal of International Affairs*, 14(4), 27–60.
2. Tucker, E. (2013). *The Middle East in modern world history*. Pearson.
3. Daher, A., & ProQuest (Firm). (2019). *Hezbollah: mobilisation and power*. Hurst & Company. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/qut/detail.action?docID=5824662>
4. Avon, D., & Khatchadourian, A.-T. (2012). *Hezbollah: a history of the "Party of God"* (; J. M. Todd, Trans.). Harvard University Press. <https://doi.org/10.4159/harvard.9780674067523>
5. Rice, E. (2018). *Hezbollah*. Mitchell Lane Publishers.
6. Cypel, S., & Editions La Découverte. (2020). *The state of Israel vs. the Jews* (; W. Rodarmor, Trans.). Other Press.
7. Karsh, E. (2000). *Israel : the first hundred years*. Frank Cass.
8. Haddad, H. (2014). *Palestine* (; P. L'Abbé, Trans.). Guernica Editions. <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.ct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=910695>
9. Wagman, R. (2018). *Palestine, a Jewish question*. Cambridge Scholars Publishing. <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.t=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=1947803>
10. Piterberg, G. (2013). *Palestine and the Palestinians in the 21st century* (R. Davis & M. Kirk, Eds.). Indiana University Press. <http://site.ebrary.com/id/10769265>
11. Caridi, P. (2023). *Hamas : from resistance to regime* (; A. Teti, Trans.; Revised and updated edition). Seven Stories Press.
12. Seurat, L. (2022). *The foreign policy of Hamas : ideology, decision making and political supremacy* (; M. Makinson, Trans.). I. B. Tauris & Company, Limited. <http://public.ebib.com/choice/PublicFullRecord.aspx?p=6837024>
13. Shalom, Z. (2019). *Israel, the United States, and the war against Hamas, July-August 2014 : the "special relationship" under scrutiny*. Sussex Academic Press. <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.t=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=2135197>
14. Zannotti, J., Sharp, J. M., & Library of Congress Congressional Research Service. (2023). *Israel and Hamas conflict in brief*. Congressional Research Service. <https://purl.fdlp.gov/GPO/gpo221482>